FILEO U.S DISTRICT COURT

2004 FEB 23 P 3: 01

DISTRICT OF UTAH

BY: LEPUTY CLERK

C. Richard Henriksen, Jr., #1466 Timothy J. Williams, #10850 HENRIKSEN & HENRIKSEN, P.C. Attorney for Plaintiffs 320 South 500 East Salt Lake City, Utah 84102 Telephone: (801) 521-4145 Facsimile: (801) 355-0246

Phillip Kim Laurence Rosen THE ROSEN LAW FIRM, P.A. 350 Fifth Avenue, Suite 5508 New York, NY 10118

Telephone: (212) 686-1060 Facsimile: (212) 202-3827

Counsel for Plaintiff

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF UTAH, CENTRAL DIVISION

STAN OVERTON, individually and as TRUSTEE OF THE MAY 17, 2003 BARON ST. JOHN REVOCABLE LIVING TRUST;

Plaintiffs,

vs.

ATLAS STOCK TRANSFER CORPORATION,

Defendant.

FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT

Civil No. 2:06cv00153 BSJ

Judge Bruce S. Jenkins

Plaintiff, STAN OVERTON, individually and as TRUSTEE OF THE MAY 17, 2003

BARON ST. JOHN REVOCABLE LIVING TRUST, by his attorneys, HENRIKSEN &

HENRIKSEN, P.C. and THE ROSEN LAW FIRM, P.A., allege as follows:

PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

- 1. This diversity action for arises from Defendant's failure to remove restrictive legends and issue non-restricted shares of Recom Managed Systems, Inc. ("RECOM") common stock owned by Plaintiff. Since May 17, 2003, Plaintiff has continuously owned two Share Certificates of restricted common stock of RECOM for 58,888 and 29,444 shares, respectively. Despite submitting opinion letters from counsel to defendant Atlas Stock Transfer Corporation ("Atlas"), authorizations for transfer containing guaranteed signatures of Plaintiff, and numerous other requests starting in August of 2005, Atlas has refused to remove the restrictive legends from the share certificates and issue new share certificates without the restrictive legends, and thereby prohibiting Plaintiff from freely trading the RECOM stock and foreclosing Plaintiff from deriving any benefit from the stock that he is entitled to.
- 2. As a direct result of Defendant's failure to remove the restrictive legends on the Share Certificates, Plaintiff has suffered damages.

THE PARTIES

- 3. Plaintiff Stan Overton is a citizen of the United States and the State of Florida. Mr. Overton resides in Orlando, Florida. Mr. Overton sues defendants individually and as Trustee of the May 17, 2003 Baron St. John Revocable Living Trust, a California Trust.
- 4. The May 17, 2003 Baron St. John Revocable Living Trust (the "Trust"), is a trust organized under the laws of the State of California and maintains its principal place of business in Orlando, Florida.

5. Defendant Atlas Stock Transfer Corporation, ("Atlas") is a privately held Utah Corporation with offices located at 5899 S. State, Suite 24, Salt Lake City, UT 84107. Upon information and belief, Atlas is primarily engaged in the business of acting as a transfer agent as defined by the federal securities laws.

RELEVANT NON-PARTY

6. Recom Managed Systems, Inc. is a publicly traded Delaware Corporation with its principal place of business located at 531 South Main Street, Suite 301, Greenville, South Carolina, 29601. On November 9, 2005, RECOM changed its name to Signalife, Inc. (collectively hereafter "RECOM"). RECOM's stock is traded on the American Stock Exchange ("AMEX") under ticker symbol "SGN."

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 7. Jurisdiction is based on Diversity of Citizenship pursuant to 28 U.S.C § 1332, as the citizenship of the named parties are diverse and the matter in controversy exceeds seventy five thousand dollars (\$75,000), exclusive of interest and costs.
- 8. Venue is proper in the District of Utah Central Division pursuant to 28 U.S.C § 1391(a)(1) and (2) as defendant resides in this district and a substantial part of the events that give rise to this action occurred in this district.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

9. On May 17, 2003 two share certificates bearing nos. 3790 and 4287 of restricted RECOM common stock for 29,444 and 58,888 shares, respectively, (collectively "Share

Certificates") were transferred from non-party Baron St. John ("St. John") to the Baron St. John Revocable Living Trust, Stan Overton, as Trustee.

10. St. John was issued the Share Certificates on or about October 23, 2002 pursuant to an unrelated settlement agreement between him and non-party Budimir Drakulic, a RECOM officer. (See Exhibit "A", attached.) The Share Certificates were issued to St. John and other nonparty investors in consideration for \$34,000 and the release of all claims against Drakulic arising from another business venture. Neither RECOM, nor the parties to this action, were parties to that unrelated settlement.

11. The Share Certificates transferred to Plaintiff contained the following Rule 144 restrictive legend:

The shares represented by this certificate have not been registered under the Securities Act of 1933. The shares have been acquired for investment and may not be altered sold or otherwise transferred in the absence of an effective Registration Statement for the shares under Securities Act of 1933 or a prior opinion of counsel satisfactory to the issuer that registration is not required under the Act.

12. On August 16, 2005 Overton transmitted two letters to Atlas (one for each Share Certificate) requesting that Atlas issue new Share Certificates without the restrictive legends set forth in ¶11, above, or any other restrictions of transfer be issued to him. The letter also advised that Atlas that they would be receiving an opinion letter from his special securities counsel, Robert M. Strumor, Esq. certifying that the transfer of the securities did not violate the securities laws.

- 13. On August 17, 2005 two opinion letters (for each of the Share Certificates), drafted by Mr. Strumor, were provided to Atlas requesting that Atlas issue new Share Certificates without any restrictive legend or other restriction on transfer registered in the name of Overton. The August 17, 2005 opinion letters in all relevant aspects were the same. The letters state that in the opinion of Mr. Strumor: (1) the Common Stock was duly authorized and validly issued by the Company; (2) Overton acquired the common stock on or about May 17, 2003 and has been the registered owner continuously since September 26, 2003 (58,888 shares) and December 14, 2004 (29,444 shares) and is entitled to Section 4 (1/12) exemption for private transactions; (3) Overton is not an affiliate of the Company as defined in the Securities and Exchange Act of 1933 ("1933 Act") or in Rule 144 nor was an affiliate 90 days preceding the date of the opinion letters; (4) Overton is lawfully entitled to the exemption from the registration requirements of the 1933 Act provided in the provisions provided in Rule 144, and has satisfied the two year holding period requirement and therefore may be issued new Share Certificates without restrictive legend or any other restrictions on transfer or sale; and (5) no other opinions were necessary for Atlas to remove the restrictive legends from the Share Certificates.
- 14. At the close of trading on the AMEX on August 17, 2005, the Share Certificates had a market value of \$291,495 based on the \$3.30 a share price.
- 15. On or about August 18, 2005, Overton sent authorizations, containing his guaranteed signature, to Atlas to transfer his Share Certificates to the Allan Migdall Attorney Trust Account in furtherance of the sale of the Share Certificates to a bona fide purchaser for value.

- 16. By letter dated September 21, 2005, Mr. Strumor sent another request to Atlas demanding that the restrictive legends be removed from the Share Certificates.
- 17. By letter dated September 29, 2005, RECOM, through counsel, Paul M. Taylor, Esq., informed Atlas that "under no circumstances" should Atlas issue, replace, or otherwise deal with the Share Certificates due to a purported breach of an agreement St. John entered into in 2002, presumably the settlement agreement between St. John and Drakulic, to which neither RECOM, the Trust, nor Overton was a party to.
- 18. By letter dated October 10, 2005, Atlas notified RECOM that the Share Certificates have been presented to Atlas from Overton for registration of transfer. Atlas stated in the letter that "[I]t appears that the certificates are in due form for registration of transfer...." The letter further states Atlas "shall proceed to register the transfer as requested" unless RECOM "do one of the following":

Obtain an appropriate restraining order or injunction issued by a court of competent jurisdiction;

Furnish to us a satisfactory indemnity bond protecting Prevectus Pharmaceuticals, Inc. [sic] transfer agent and registrar, or other agent or employees from any loss which it or they may suffer by reason of refusal to register transfer if [sic] the securities as requested.

19. By letter dated October 12, 2005 Mr. Strumor, reminded Atlas of its independent duties owed to Overton and that the purported basis proffered by RECOM in the September 29, 2005 letter did not provide a basis for Atlas not to remove the restrictive legends from the share certificates.

- 20. By letter dated October 21, 2005, RECOM reiterated its prior instructions to Atlas not to transfer or lift any restrictive legends from the Share Certificates. The letter further states that "If you intend to lift the legends notwithstanding our instructions to you, please immediately notify me in writing...."
- 21. To date the restrictive legends on the Share Certificates have not been removed, nor has RECOM posted bond or obtained judicial intervention as outlined in Atlas' October 10, 2005 letter, set forth in ¶18 above.
- 22. As a result of Atlas' failure to transfer the shares and remove the restricted legend, the Trust and Overton have been unable to transfer or sell the Share Certificates.

CHOICE OF LAW

23. Under the Utah UCC §70A-8-109 the law of the issuer governs transfer duties. The issuer being RECOM, a Delaware Corporation, the Delaware UCC applies to transfer duties in this action.

COUNT I

WRONGFUL REFUSAL TO REGISTER THE TRANSFER OF SECURITIES UNDER DELAWARE U.C.C.

- 24. Plaintiff repeats and realleges each and every allegation contained in each of the foregoing paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.
 - 25. Section 8-401 of the Delaware U.C.C. provides:
 - (a) If a certificated security in registered form is presented to an issuer with a request to register transfer. . the issuer shall register the transfer as if:

- (1) Under the terms of the security the person seeking registration of transfer is eligible to have the security registered in its name;
- (2) The endorsement or instruction is made by the appropriate person;
- (3) Reasonable assurance is given that the endorsement or instruction is genuine and authorized;
- (4) Any applicable law relating to the collection of taxes has been complied with;
- (5) The transfer does not violate any restriction on the transfer imposed by the issuer in accordance with section 8-204;
- (6) A demand that the issuer not register transfer had not become effective under section 8-403 or the issuer had complied with section 8-403(b) but no legal process or indemnity bond is obtained as provided in section 8-403(d);
- (7) The transfer is in fact rightful or is to a protected purchaser.

6 Del. C. §8-401.

- 26. As the transfer agent for RECOM stock, Atlas has the same mandatory duty to register the transfer of the RECOM stock as does RECOM pursuant to sections 8-401 and 8-407 of the Delaware Uniform Commercial Code, 6 Del. C. §§ 8-401, 8-407.
- 27. Section 8-407 provides that a transfer agent, with regard to the registration of a transfer of securities, "has the same obligation to the particular functions performed as the issuer has in regard to those functions." 6 <u>Del. C.</u> § 8-407. Thus, Atlas had the same obligations with regard to the registration of the transfer of stock as RECOM, the stock issuer.
- 28. Atlas is thus similarly bound to follow, and liable for damages to plaintiffs under §8-401.

- 29. Plaintiff has satisfied all conditions listed in section 8-401 of the Delaware UCC.
- 30. By failing and refusing to effect and to remove the restrictive legends on the Share Certificates as set forth above, Defendant is preventing the transfer and registration of RECOM stock despite the fact Plaintiff is entitled to do so.
- 31. As a result of defendants' failure and refusal to remove the restrictive legends to facilitate the transfer of the RECOM stock, Plaintiff is unable to freely trade the stock and realize any benefit therein, and accordingly, Plaintiff has suffered damages of at least \$291,495, which is the value that the RECOM stock would have had if sold when requested.

COUNT II

CONVERSION

- 32. Plaintiff repeats and realleges each and every allegation contained in each of the foregoing paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.
- 33. By failing to remove the restrictive legends from the RECOM Share Certificates and replacing the stock certificates without the legend and having the exclusive power and control to do so, Defendant has, without any privilege, interfered with Plaintiff's possession and enjoyment of the RECOM Share Certificates, which he owns and has a pecuniary interest in.
- 34. As a result of Defendant's conversion of the stock certificates, Plaintiff has suffered damages.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, STAN OVERTON, individually and as TRUSTEE OF THE MAY 17, 2003 BARON ST. JOHN REVOCABLE LIVING TRUST demands judgment in his favor

and against Defendant ATLAS STOCK TRANSFER CORPORATION, as follows:

- a. Award Plaintiff compensatory damages in the amount of \$291,495, the market value of the RECOM Share Certificates on August 17, 2005;
 - b. Award Punitive damages based on Defendants' reckless indifference to Plaintiff's rights;
- c. Award Plaintiff attorneys' fees, costs, and such other and further relief the Court deems just and proper.

DATED this 23 day of February, 2006.

HENRIKSEN & HENRIKSEN, P.C.

C. Richard Henriksen, Jr.

Timothy J. Williams 320 South 500 East

Salt Lake City, Utah 84102

Tel. (801) 521-4145

Fax: (801) 355-0246

Phillip Kim

Laurence Rosen

THE ROSEN LAW FIRM, P.A.

350 Fifth Avenue, Suite 5508

New York, NY 10118

Telephone: (212) 686-1060

Facsimile: (212) 202-3827

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

SETTLEMENT AGREEMIENT AND MULUAL GENERAL RULL ASES

THE SET LEMENT AGREEMENT AND MULIUM, GENERAL RELEASES ("Agreement") is a side and control of the continue of October, 2007, by and between the how 1995 as a (to remarker Deal above in the one based, and the investors executing the American redom on the other band than context (lavestors).

RECTIALS

- Advanced beautification of the investors clean to have invested money in a company called Advanced beautification local sections of their relationships with enther tips opens of their supporting documentation submitted to thinkule prior to the little (5th) because day before the Crosing Date (as defined below); or tropsigned affidavity in the form enacted betoto as happened 1.1. All investors represent and warrant that to the feet of their knowledge, their investments in AlTI us referenced and set forth in this Agreement were made in tall compilation with all federal and state securities laws, as well as in compliance with all applicable laws, rules, regulations and other provisions relating to investments in general;
- WHEREAS, many years prior to the formation of AHT and many years prior to any interaction between the Investors, on the one hand, and Drakulic, on the other hand, Drakulic had developed certain technologies (including, but not limited to, patented and trade secreted technologies) pertaining to measuring bodily functions, some of which were licensed to Teledyne in 1993, under that certain licensing agreement dated December 9, 1993 ("Teledyne License") for purposes of developing certain brain wave technologies. As of the date of the Teledyne License, none of the Investors had ever met Drakulic whether directly or indirectly. As of the date of this Agreement, Teledyne continues to license the technologies developed by Drakulic under the Teledyne License. All of the technologies developed by Drakulic prior to March 1, 2002 are referred to herematics as "technologies."
- C. WHEREAS, Drakatic claims (i) the initial purpose of AIIT at the time of its formation was to permit AHT to beense from Drakulic the technologies for purposes of creating heart monitoring devices and (ii) to have extensive legal rights against numerous persons, including, but not limited to, persons related to AIIT, persons related to the Investors, and persons related to the circumstances surrounding the formation and operation of AHT, including, but not limited to, an extensive conspiracy claimed by Drakulic to have existed among numerous persons for the purpose of inducing Drakulic to use and disclose his time, ideas, methodologies, and a significant part of his life, to a company, AHT, that Drakulic claims was intended by all such co-conspirators to be under-capitalized in companison to the representations made to Drakulic to induce his involvement with AIIF;



- 1) WITH READS, the case store have made irreatings and Drakulic with claims that Drakulic his not only violated civil awarbiit has computed a country with his involvement with Air Emphalius, "fine one has made," "bankington trand " and other alleged, reasonful contrained at mind a trite including the net by Drakulic of according to the with Africand from measurement ancestaining to the rebrokules."
- WIL OF AS. Desk the classes that the threat made against is a constitute extension and anothernocoses enamed but a net to mention. Diskulie's abune that each and every act he has taken was hostful. Each under and instiffed under the each and crominal laws applicable to jumpursuant to State and Lederal law.
- WHEREAS, Drakutic and the Investors deny all alterations made against them by the others and deny not wrongduing of any kind;
- O WHEREAS, the parties hereto wish to settle and resolve all allegations and claims between them in the manner set forth in this Agreement.
- Will REAS, the investors hereby acknowledge that Steve Verchick has performed extraordinary services and different efforts in securing this Agreement and that he has previously tost certain options granted to him by AHT in connection with his initial investment therein. Mr. Verchick will receive certain warrants to purchase shares in the public company described herein. Notwithstanding, the warrants granted Mr. Verchick will not be included in the amount calculated as consideration for the settlement of the lavestors. All Investors covenant and agree and recite that they are aware of and acknowledge Mr. Verchick's rendering of such service and the extraordinary value thereof.

NOW, THEREFORE, in consideration of the promises, mutual covenants and obligations set forth herein, and other valuable consideration, the receipt and sufficiency of which are hereby acknowledged, the parties agree as follows:

AGREEMENT

Payment to Drakulic's Designee. The Investors shall pay to the designee of Drakulic the total sum of \$34,000.00 (thirty four thousand dellars), to be divided as an obligation among the Investors in any manner they see fit, and payable by one cashier's check made payable to Drakulics lawyer's trust account and delivered at the Closing, as that term is defined below. The cashier's check shall be made payable to Brian Oxman, Esq. Drakulic represents, warrants and agrees that such cashier's check shall go directly into the aperating account of the public company described below and shall be used for operations with respect to that public company and not for the reunbursement of legal fees with the sole exception that the cashier's check may no used for legal fees with parent work on future patient applications or maintenance

Å.		
erak (ila	,	

med 150

work of any Thought Hopping, the leafin dogers for the benefit of the public company described

- The variety of the effective to investige a transfer shall assure that the investiges property of the following setting the Lond the pullby and the confidence in
 - he are carried charge of matrixed evening in steel (under Rule 144) in a public (3) a assume or a place teature, but forth in Schoolak Barrached beretar the an exercise share rate of the precise amount yet forth opposite the manys of The line extress are set of this og Schedule B, and shall be in certificates duly authorized for issual, a by the Board of the public company and duly issued by the transfer again for the public company, and
 - 101 is taily executed agreement to the public company in the precise form attached to Sessedule It and identified as Schedule B-1.
- Thad Party Beneficiaries. There are no third party beneficiaries of this Agreement unless athenvise stated
- Severability Any portion or provision of this Agreement which is invalid, illegal or unenforceable in any jurisdiction shall, as to that jurisdiction, be ineffective to the extent of such invalidity, illegably or unenforceability, without affecting in any way the remaining portions or provisions hereof in such jurisdiction or, to the extent pennitted by law, without rendering that or any other portion or provision hereof invalid, illegal or menforceable in any other jurisdiction.
- Equitable Remedies. In addition to legal remedies to the extent allowed pursuant to this Agreement or by law, in recognition of the fact that remedies at law may not be sufficient, the parties bereto (and their successors) shall be entitled to equilable remedies including, without limitation, specific performance and injunction.
- Article and Section Headings. The Article and Section headings included in this Agreement are for the convenience of the parties only and shall not offeet the construction or interpretation of this Agreement.
- Counterparts. This Agreement may be executed in several counterparts, each one of which shall be an original and all of which shall constitute one and the same document.
- Fees and Expenses. Each party shall pay all fees and expenses, however, described, incurred by them in connection with this transaction
- Nonces. Any notices which any party as required or may desire to give to any other party or parties under this Agreement shall be in writing, and shall be given by addressing

n 4

the same to such once there is partied at their addresses level on the agrantic paper of this Agreement, and by depositing the table so addressed, postage propose, existed mad, taking therefore proposed in the Found States may, by delivering the same personally to such other parties or parties on by more than a discussion of a Agreen may change the address for the service of inflate or warries in the other parties on the marries have provided.

- 16 Construct and Law and Dropate Resolution. This Agreement shall be construed and growthed in the trace of the Scale set. Element. Any dispute here time shall be infrated and tried in backing accordance below the Angeles. California, and subject to appeal or collateral angels. In connects in with the AAA arbitration, the investors shall by majority choose one arbitration. Drakaid, shall choose another arbitrator and these two arbitrators shall pack a third arbitrator which such third arbitrat shall be the sole arbitrator over the dispute.
- Entire Agreement, Amendments and Waiver This Agreement constitutes the 11 cause Agreement between the parties pertaining to the subject matter contained berein and supersedes all prior and contemporaneous agreements, representations and landerstandings whether oral, written or both -- of the parties with respect to the subject matter hereof. In the ease of this particular Agreement, there have been no prior or contemporaricous agreements, representations and understandings between or among the parties hereto, and the only agreement that formed the topic of negotiations and discussions is contained herein. The parties agree that this Agreement may not be modified and that no attempted modification by the parties shall be himding upon either party hereto unless comulated in a written testrument executed by the party to be charged. Accordingly, this Agreement may not be so modified by a writing that is unsigned by the party to be charged and this Agreement may not be modified by any oral amendment, oral agreement or other oral modification. In fact, may oral amendment or written modification that remains ansigned by the party to be charged is invalid in that the parties intend that there is no consideration for any future promises that are not contained in writing and the parties beretospecifically agree that this be the case.
- Assignment. Neither this Agreement, any pertion hereof nor any rights hereunder may be assigned or transferred by the Investors, without the prior written consent of Drakulic unless such assignment or transfer is made by the Investor by bequest or through inheritance or for estate planning purposes to, or for the benefit of, any spouse, ancestor, descendent or other family member of such investor (other than David Mulberg). Notwithstanding the forgoing sentence, any transfer made pursuant to the exception contained therein must be noticed to Drakulic ten (10) husiness days prior to completion and Drakulic shall have the right to object if the purpose of the assignment or transfer is unlawful in any manner. Drakulic may freely assign the benefits of this Agreement within his sale and absolute discretion to any person except David Manberg. This Agreement shall be building upon and shall inure to the henetit of the permitted successors, because, assignees and transferees of the parties herein.



- The Leggi Novege to quark a hereby achieverable that they have received took pendent legal active from attentions of their coorse with respect to the advisability of executing this Agreement and the related docume with these apportunities, each party made all desired examples to the Agreement and in accordance with these apportunities, each party made all desired examples to the Agreement. Each party and their attorneys have made such investigation of the facts perturbaget. This Agreement area of or the matters apportuning thereto as they document necessary. Each party contribute that they have executed it within they have using this Agreement, and field indicate and it and that they have executed it withinfully, they of any diagram, force or need to enthunce of any party or any person.
- 34 <u>No Admission of Wrongdo</u>mg. Estifica the exception of this Agreement on the implementation of any terms hereof shall constitute at admission of wrongdoing on the part of any signatory to this Agreement.
- stipulate, covenant and agree that: (a) All shares they ever award in AHT prior to the Closing are thereby transferred, assigned and conveyed to the designed of Drakolic, and this Agreement shall constitute an assignment with respect to all shares claimed to be owned by the Investors in AHT prior to the Closing of this Agreement and also with respect to any shares actually owned by such investors to the extent there is ultimately proven to be a difference between the claimed amount of shares owned and the actual amount of shares owned. After the Closing hereof, the designee of Drakolic shall conclusively be deemed to be the outright owner of all shares of AHT to which the investors had a legal right prior to the Closing; and (b) All of the investors' legal rights of any kind appertaining or relating in any manner to AHT and/or against any person or eatily prior to the Closing that appertain or relate to AHT including, without limitation, rights appertaining to loans, extensions of credit or other items of any kind, are, too, hereby transferred, assigned and conveyed to the designee of Drakolic at the Closing.
- To Confidentiality. The parties minusity coverant, agree, and promise that they shall weat this Agreement, excluding the fact of settlement but including its terms and conditions, the amount and type of consideration and any discussions surrounding its negatiations absolutely confidential. Each party matually agrees and coverants that they shall not disclose, divuige or communicate any such confidential information to any person or entity without prior written consent of the other parties. The parties agree that if asked about the conclusion of the matters set forth in this Agreement, the parties will divulge only that the matter has been anticably resolved (except as otherwise provided herein). This paragraph shall not be breached to the extent that the disclosure of any information is (i) to secure compliance with or enforcement of the terms of this Sentement Agreement, (ii) in response to an order of a court of competent purisdiction or subposent issued under the authority thereof, (iii) made to the parties' legal counsel, board of directors, financial advisors, and/or tax consultants, subject to the same



provisions of confidents live with and here n, the provised at comprisance with any foliard or the sectation are apply able to any transol this Agreement of this adequates required by two However, the parties aball within five be apply consuminate, both telephonically and in writing, the fact of such parties shall within five be apply consuminate, both telephonically and in writing, the fact of such parties, order, subposing, or request to coming for the time parties and to a representative of the other parties. Such communication shall be made to that the other parties will have the experiments to native, no to assert what rights they have to nonders leave prior to the ordered or subposition, a or havefully required party's response. Further, the parties agree to other each other party, in the event of such ordered, subposinged, or lawfully required response und/or to interview the involved party in advance of any disclosure. The parties agree to make themselved reasonably regimed to representatives of any party in the event test information or assistance to needed in connection with any potential or nothing proceeding or investigation.

- 7 Closing 4 has closing of this Agreement shall take place on October 23, 2002 at a place in Los Angeles County designated by Drakulic. At the Closing, Drakulic shall produce his original signature on this Agreement in exchange for; (a) the original signatures of all Investors to this Agreement, and (b) the fully and accurately completed Exhibit "A" and the delivery of the originals of all supporting documentation or affidavits surrounding the investments claimed to have been made by the Investors in AHT. Within 5 business days of the Closing hereof. Drakulic will produce an issuance instruction, addressed to the Company's transfer agent or designated issuance binly, requesting that common shares in the amount opposite each individual Investor's name, as contained in Schedule B, be issued. Upon production by Drakulic of such request to Steven Verchick, the Investors shall forward to Verchick a check made payable to the Company in the amount of \$34,000.00, within 3 business days, as provided for in paragraph 1 above. As soon as is reasonably practicable following the foregoing events, Drakulic shall provide notification to Verchick that he is in possession of the aforementioned share certificates and is prepared to exchange them for the \$34,000.00 check. Within 2 business days thereafter, Verebick shall reasond with notification that he has the check and the parties shall -- within 24 hours thereof - exchange the check for the share certificates through a meeting between Verchick and Drakulic. In the event Drakulic produces the share vertificates required to be produced under this Agreement and the Investors fail or refuse to produce the \$34,000.00 check in the manner set forth above, the Investors shall receive no shares of stock in the Company but -- nonetheless -- the Mutual General Release Provisions set forth at Schedule "C" attached hereto shall be fully binding and enforceable as against all parties berno irrespective of any fact. circumstance or other happening or event."
- 18. <u>Incorporation of Schedules</u>. The Schedules appended hereto are fully incorporated by reference as though fully set forth, and form binding obligations and representations becaunder.



<u>د جو</u>

19 to concerning Man Agricinent may be executed in counterpart, and signal not used to exclusive the transferring with all such couptings to take a sense to be constituting that Associated

BVW). He SS HERE Distriction parties being there there exhauselves, or through their dusy untherwest representatives, executed this Aproneers, as of the data first set forth air, very such execution as course, and execution at the conclusion, of all Schedules appended beside.

(Remainder of Page International) Left Physis.]

Indicate

A Stribut 1 1

FORMOR ASHBOAVE

, the conferenced, decored that upon mutality each page of this Agreement and signific this Agreement, that I (i) paid vidiable consideration to AIII for the purchase of accuracy as referenced on Schedule "A" below. (ii) has the consideration land on Schedule A is the total ansaunt of moracs invested by me in Ali I, and thirt that the information set forth organite my came on Schedule "A ! below is true and correct, and that I am providing this affidavit as an inducement to Drakshie to enter into this Agreement.

I have personal knowledge of the following facts contained herein and on Sciendale "A". below and if called to testify I could and would competently testify thereto based upon my personal knowledge.

biot only have I signed this affidavit below and printed my name, but I have signed this Agreement of its conclusion and I am miffying my signature approving the content of this affidavit.

Executed this _____day of October, 2002.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Print bivester Blanc

SCHEDULE "A"

or or the of his step and other Representation and higgs but three times

Sand Correlation	*) f.	AHI.	1){ k.* ' .
Logit Physics) amount treat a	20,(6,01,0)	
strategast e action	.%	्रिक्ट्रीकश्चाक्त	A The Add Add Add Add Add Add Add Add Add Ad
Mark Kare	Ε,	70,000 00	CONTRACTOR OF MAN AND CONTRACTOR SMITHWARE CO.
these Levalues	ž.	10,000 00	1 TASK ME. OF STATE O
Ball Landon	‡ /	10,000 00	t to your ways and a second of the second
i hostus Možias k	<u> </u>	10,000,330	and constrained GPT (SEC) is a company to a
Store Neubesker	des March ^{an} and committee == co	5,000.00	en resonantiam ament ^{al l} atività la co
left Sunyer	3	35,880.00	t resiscommission new 22 months. Love the suspendent 18.8 have the
Wala Sawyer	teraple wer of	\$0,880,00	defending (), (A,AB) of a defendable defendance of the (A,AB) of the substitutions of the substitution of the substituti
Staphen Varehick	5	125,000 00	demonstration of the property of the second
Tom Zima	*	190,000,00	and the second of the second s
Bell Zwerding	s . ,.	23.000.00	was a fundamental for the second state of the second secon
Paul Wilhelm	<u></u>	30,000.00	NEW TOTAL CONTRACTOR AND
Rob Charty	\$.,	25,000.00	
Cary Salonrent	<u> </u>	22,500 bb	
Barron St. John	THE P. ASSESSED AN EMPLOYMENT	75,100.00	communications in A the Adolphic Commission (V) to V , and commission define
Jeff Cawaa	<u>. S</u>	27,800.00	TO THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY AND THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPER
FOLAL SIVES LARSET	S	775,100,00	

Drakula 's Acknowledgment of Receipt of a opine of Confirmatory Documentation from Investor Conop.

Initials:

Antitionism Agreconius will bit, mist beinesm Arendens

SCHEDULE "F"

Suchaids of Pote Company For Four pot 2 of the Agresional

The public company Glorenoed is paragraph \mathbb{P} of the Agreement, alterhed heretic, shall paye the following features:

- As of the dute of the Agraement, attached hereto, it shall be authorized uniter the rules of the National Association of Securities Dealers to hade on the CTCBB marketplace.
- As of the date of the Agreement, attached hereto, it shall have no more than 10,000,000 common shares issued and outstanding, with such number including any and all outstanding warrants.
- As of the date of the Agreement, attached hereto, and giving full effect to the conveyances given in paragraph 2 of the Agreement, it shall have taken all reasonable measures to maximize its ownerable over all right, little and interest in and to all of the technologies with the pole exception of the technologies wonsed to Teledyne as referenced in Recitat B of the Agreement, attached hereto.
- 4. As of the date of the Agreement, attached hereto, it shall make simultaneously therewith or in the oldstery course of business thereafter, or have made, a press release stating that it has acquired certain rights from a third party with such rights related to the technologies.

The investors acknowledge and agree that – other than the standards referenced in this Schedule 'B' – the public company referenced in paragraph 2 of the Agreement shall merely be a 'shall,' and that the investors hereby weive, refinquish, disavow and give up, from now until forever, any right to object to the condition, state, form, order or other crossmatances surrounding or appertaining in any manner to the public company referenced to paragraph 2 of the Agreement, including, without limitation, the state of the public company's easets, the state of the public company's liabilities, the state of the public company's earnings (of which there are none), whether or not the public company has acceptable physical plant or equipment, and any facts whatsoever having anything to do with the public company.

The following shares being tendered to the investors as set forth herein represent a total of three percent (3%) of the issued and outstanding shares of the public company

investor Names and Amount of Shares in Public Company

Name of investor	Number of Shares Received in Public Company
Tom Dyurs	7 E41
Bernard Carneol	30,20 7
Mars Kniz	Tribah 7,541

bettlement Agregaant and Mutail Correct Researce

Sep 29 00 00:20a

•	•
[Classical regulations]	4570
Hillowist	,
Hemma Magesti	
Stove Neutrigo	1.50%
Jeff Sawyer	4.15.4
Wally Sawyet	\$14. E.
Stephen Verchick	49,000
Tom Zonia	74 A 17
Bel: Zwerdling	¥.8()*
Paul Wilhelm	#1.761
Rink Cherry	TO COMMUNICATION CONTROL CONTR
Gary Salomons	B. 0.2.1
Barron St. John	PRAMA
Jeff Cowan	8.621
TOTAL	303,881

Instals	J);	 MANUAL S. CONSTRUCTION	

نه.⊷	-
	or trading H
	Lorse 1 to geometry to Public Company
than enterprise the present	
Lar	
Man; Applica	
Dicar [1995]	

This configure that we advanted size an obligation to pay you the amount of more year fact), appearing your notice on Schedule "A leaf the Scalement Agreement and Matual General Release, between the to excess and broken tradults ("Sentengal Agreement"), and such obligation shall be fully broking against at in the following events, as family the following events:

- You have not himselved may aurement, warrants, representation to understanding, express or implied, under the Schlement Agreement;
- Γŧ With the exception of David Molberg or Budimir Drukulic or any Investor, no shierholder or alleged shareholder of Advanced Heart Technologies, inc. shall have filed any kawasit, claim, arbitration or other legal proceeding (hereinafter "legal claim(s)") against us other than legal claims toyolving the repayment of an amount of money less tisan one hundred thousand dollars (\$100,000.00);
- We have raised in cacers of \$2 million in conjection with any paths offering, private offering, private placement or other transactions involving on equity financing with us, after which we agree that - with respect to all amounts raised at any time by us - we shall pay to all Investors who have executed the Settlemont Agreement on amount of money equal to four percent (4%) of all money raised until the lavestors are repaid in full the amount of money set forth opposite their names as set forth on Schedult "A" Hotwidestanding any such payments, the payment of such monies will not affect the mumber of shares you own at such time.

Further, this confirms that our obligation hereunder shall in no way cancel, supercede or motity in 23.00

y was the shares of common stock you have re	relived under and pursuant to the Settlement Agreement
	Sincerely,
	[Name of Public Company]
	By: Chief Executive Officer
	Foliate 1
normal Agricano, and Manial General Interna-	

8.7 L

统用环线点

Status Carpetal to Specification

I was a price of some one of the paths torquest of a second of an another following the following the Appendix and the control of the paths of the control of the paths of the control of the Appendix of the control of the control of the control of the Appendix of the App

Will the provincialist weather David Mulberg and any other period having any direct or indirect all fluctions in value case with order the beautiful and beautiful and beautiful and the province of the Davidson of the Davidson.

Muhai General Honaber

- The Investors, for then actual and for those charged others, officers, directors, legal purderessors, so or sourt, assigns, and those who at any time proport for any reason to be acquired a association wat them to an their behalf, (collectively "investor Group"), its hereby forever and finally relicise, relices, adquir remore absolve and discharge Bedinic Franchic and his terpretive past and present couplingers, officers, portain usacolates, afficiance, subaddiance, related companies, journ remuie partners, successors in persons to which he has sold or transferred thy personal property or technologies (e.g., technology, patents, trade storets, automobiles, or either personalty) or to twitch such successed has in him sold or transferred any personal property or technologies, directors, agents, representatives, attorneys, sturebulders, spenses, children, and former appears (collectively "Ornkulic Group") from any and all losses, claims, debts, tiabilities, defininds, obligations, promises, 2013, Omission. regreements, costs and expenses, domages, injuries, suits, actions and courses of action, of whatever kind of hature, whether known or unknown, suspected or unsuspected, commissed or fixed, that the investor Group may have against the Diskulic Group for against their past and present employees, officers, partners, associates, affiliates, subsidiaries, related enormalies, joint vienture partners, susceptions or persons to which he has sold or intustiered any portunal property on technologies [e.g., technology, patents, trade secrets, automobiles, or other personalty]) or to which such successor has in turn told or transferred any personal property or technologies, directors, agents, representatives. attorneys, shareholders, anounce, children, and funner spouses) based upon, related to, or by remain of my matter, cause, fact, not of contistion occurring or griging at any magnest from the beginning of time to the last date of execution hereof, including, without limitation, matters existing by reason of any contract (express or implied in last or implied in law), here, findship, cause, fact, thing, act or omission whatever, necurring or existing to any time to and including the law does of execution betenf. Each person released by operation of this Agreement is an intended that party beneficiary of this Agreement
- Direction for himself and for his shareholders, officers, directors, legal predecessors, successors, assigns, and those who at any time purport for any reason to be acting in association with him or on his behalf, does bereby firever and finally estesse, relieve, acquit temise, absolve and discharge the firevent Coroup and him respective past and present employees, officers, partners, associates, affiliates, subsidiaries, related companies, point venture partners directors, agents, representatives, automorys, shareholders, apouses, children, and former spaces from any and all fosses, claims, debts, liabilities, comands, obligations, promises, acts, omissions, agreements, costs and expenses, damages, injuries, suits, actions and chartes of action, of whatever kind of batter, whether known in tarbancous, suspected to managerised, contingent or fixed, that Drahulic may have against the investor Group (or against their past and present employees, officers, perment, associates, affiliates, subsidiaries colated componers, joint venture partners, directors, agents, representatives, alterneys, shareholders, apartners, their past and no by reason of any matter, cause, fact, act or omission

Indials

mean resolution of ses morem hom the beginning of the elastic last date of save alone bread, inclining, without finest on estitus even by by reasons that contact (express or applied to fact or anylied in law), hen, hability, exact, 120% that is an occasion whetever, i.e. uniting or existing at anything to and including the last date of execution or no out. I had person released by operation of the Appendicut is an intended third paray beneficiary of this. Agreement

with a contract the transfer of and a contract to appear to a partner big entered the figural all linear with a contract to a legal all linear with a contract to a legal all linear with a contract to a legal all linear to a partner big entered (in the case of a corporation), a part scream metal contract to case of either contractor is a manufactor in any other uncertacted partner and another the law, it being the interpret in the partner that all treduted companies? Of the persons include the show that from any habitity and shall revers the tame protection under this Agricular tax lines provided to do consider a small, named herein.

- d Under no concentrates does any provision of this Agreement or of these Mutual Release Provinces operate to any manner to release, in any way, David Multierg or any person affiliated or orbited in any manner to Attlument and person is an actual tographory to this Agreement.
- It is only and Surpered Releases. The parties borround househelder sout agree that it is their interment, through this Agreement and the interior section in this Schedule "C," to fully, finally and forever settle and release each other from all those matters reversed bearin, and all claims related thereto, which do now exist, may exist of heretofore have existed or may becentler exist. It is the interior of the parties to this Agreement to release each other from courses of action aroung from facts that were willfully, wroughilly, or tornously concealed from the aggreered party, excepting any such claims or courses of action arising out of the affirmative obligations embalated in the Body of the Agreement.
- III. Release of Unknown of Chams. THE PARTIES HAVE HERN INFORMED BY THEIR RESPECTIVE ATTORNEYS AND ADVISORS ABOUT CALIFORNIA CIVIL CODE SECTION 1542, AND THE PARTIES ACKNOWLEDGE THAT THEY ARE FAMILIAR WITH AND HEREBY EXPRESSLY WAIVE THE PROVISIONS OF THIS SECTION, AND ANY SIMILAR STATUTE, CODE, LAW OR REGULATION OF ANY STATE IN THE UNITED STATES TO THE FULLEST EXTENT THAT THEY MAY WAIVE SUCH RIGHTS AND BENEFITS. SECTION 1542 OF THE CALIFORNIA CIVIL CODE PROVIDES:

A GENERAL RELIASE DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS WHICH THE CREDITOR DOES NOT KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS FAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE RELEASE, WHICH IF KNOWN BY HIM MUST HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED HIS SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR.

- IV. <u>Final Accord and Satisfaction</u>. This Agreement and the releases contained berein are intended to be final and binding between the parties hereto and are further to be effective as a full and final accord and satisfaction between the parties hereto, and each party to this Agreement expressly relies on the finality of this Agreement as a substantial, material factor inducing that party's execution of this Agreement
- V The littlest of Descovers of Different or Additional Facts. With specific respect only to the Moreal General Releases set forth in this Schedule "C," the parties better acknowledge and agree as follows:

Dut they we make that inco	may hereafter discove	r claima p resently t	introver or manufacted,	or Macis a	ŕ

Initials

termon or of different from those which may a selected before the true, not related or associated purpose a goldinous to of different tools those uppell are listed before and which the purpose belowed to as a person uppell and the object to end the purpose before to easy the American condition relaxates before the behalf before. Nevertheless, and the service and relaxed borest, through the charge and the relaxates are in to bely, finally, and the service and relaxed all each matter, and all of hour and porter areas and posteriors before any the form of the form of the purpose of the hours and posterior massical and the easy termine a effect up a full and complete before a control of the purpose of the control of the purpose and posterior is controlled frequency, deposite before the antice of the purpose o

VI Assemblies of Rests With specific respectionly to the Minual Centeral Religious set both to the School Centeral Religious set both to the School Centeral Religious set both

That we fact to prepresentations are ever assolutely effact, accordingly, each party hereto assumes the risk of any managementation, enacealment or manake, and if any party hereto should subsequently discover that any fact the fact of party relied about a exercising into this Agreement was untrue, or that any fact was concealed from that party, or that any understanding of the facts or the law was incorrect, asid party shall not be entired to act aside the Agreement by reason thereof, regardless of any claim of transl, misrepresentation, promine made without the interior of performing it, fraud in the inducement, concealment of fact, mistake of fact or law, or any other circumstances whatsoever. This Agreement and the releases contained herein are intended to be final and binding upon the parties hereto, and each of them, and is further intended to be effective as a full and final accord and satisfaction among the parties hereto, regardless of any claim of fraud, interpresentation, promise made without the intention of performing it, froud in the inducement, concealment of fact, missake of fact or law, or any other presentances whatsoever Each party relies upon the finally of this Agreement and the releases berein as a material factor inducing the party's execution of this Agreement.

VII. Generality and Specificity of Releases; Covening Not to Sue or Make Claims. With specific respect only to the Musical General Releases set forth in this Schedule "C," the parties hereto acknowledge and agree as follows:

The purious hereto intend these Mutual General Releases to be construed in the broadest passible terms to that the street of this Agreement's that the persons released hereby may not be stied by the persons releasing them hereby, whether directly or indirectly, and no claims may be made related to such releases whether by may of offset or otherwise or indeed in any master and for any reason, under any theory of fact, under any theory of law, under any alleged set of facts, under any alleged reading of the law and under ou pressuant to any claim of any kind, including (without limitation) claims for negligence, breach of contract, frank, then, breach of fightelary dairy, lender liability and indeed for any of the disputes set out in any of the centrals set forth above.

VIII. In apporation by Reference. All provisions of the foregoing Agreement are incorporated berein by reference as though fully set forth at length.

In that

Signifier Regulating of Yest Piece

性原因核体 化压力

BY BANKERALINE

SIGNATURES OF MEMBERS OF INVESTOR GROUP APPROVING SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT AND MOJUAL GENERAL RULEASIS

THE FOREGOING SETTLEMENT AGRIEMENT AND MUTUAL GENERAL RELEASES IS HEREBY APPROVED, RATIFIED AND ACCEPTED IN FULL:

and the state of t
By: Tom Dyers
Drivers License #
Address:
The control of the second seco
Phone:
· ax:
Company Name and Tatle of Signor (If Applicable):

to tests:

Sectionem by terment and between futured exchance

p. 17

in the Liverse # delicar. company Name and Light of Signor Applicable p	
Applicable is a set of the set Signed Applicable is Note the set of the set Signed Applicable is Note the set of the set Signed Applicable is	
Applicable is a set of the set Signed Applicable is Note the set of the set Signed Applicable is Note the set of the set Signed Applicable is	
Applicable is a set of the set Signed Applicable is Note the set of the set Signed Applicable is Note the set of the set Signed Applicable is	
Applicable is a set of the set Signed Applicable is Note the set of the set Signed Applicable is Note the set of the set Signed Applicable is	
Applicable p	
y. Mark Early rivers Lucense #	
y. Mark Katz rivers Lucense #	
y. Mark Katz rivers Lucense #	
y. Mark Katz rivers Lucense #	
ilvers Lacense #:	
ddress:	
Control of the second of the s	
SDBLE!	
QX:	
ompany Name and Title of Signor	
[Applicable):	
and the second s	
y: Steven Lepainer	
rivers Likense #:	
differs:	
management company company company company company company	
(US)E.	

outsite

Company Name and Title of Signer

(If Applicable):

Case 2:06-cv-00153-BSJ Document 3 Filed 02/23/06 Page 29 of 29

Sep 28 D3 (B:25a

Scholar in Approximation and Control organic Hallanger

0.17

******	~∞^
By Transide superf	
Thirt fourses	
April 18 July 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19	
	APPROX.
Paul	****
3 d85	
Company Name and Little of Signer	
(H. Agaplic doly),	-
man - Car - Variety - Cameramon en 12 Manage - 1938, angles e l'angles e	
By Mark Katz	
Drivers incouse #	
Address: Comment of the second	
T ESEMPT COMMENT OF THE PROPERTY OF THE SECOND CONTRACT OF THE SECON	ene ene
Phose	page 1
Fux	· -
Company Name and Tule of Signor	
(If Applicable):	
The Mark the second	norm c
By: Sieven Lopainer	
Drivers License #:	
Address:	
Physica	
Phone,	
Feat Company Name and Tisle of Signor	many) par
(If Applicable):	
	Initials